

## Modules over PID

Let A be a ring, and M be an A-module. Recall that M is called *free* over A if it admits a (finite) basis over A. In other words M is free if and only if there exists a finite set  $(v_1, \ldots, v_r)$  of elements of M such that the natural A-linear map  $A^r \to M$  defined by  $(a_1, \ldots, a_r) \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^r a_k v_k$  is a bijection (i.e., an isomorphism of A-modules). Such a set  $(v_1, \ldots, v_r)$  is called a basis for M over A. One can define freeness without references to elements: a module M is free if and only if there exist an integer  $r \ge 1$  and an isomorphism of A-modules  $A^r \to M$ .

Be aware that some (most) modules are not free. The most simple is example to bear in mind is the following: let  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  be the ring of integers, and  $n \ge 1$  be an integer. Then the A-module  $M := \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is finitely generated over A (by  $1_M$ ) but cannot be free over A (otherwise, M would be isomorphic to  $A^m$  for some  $m \ge 1...$  but, as sets, M is finite while A is not).

Here is a more elaborate example. Let k be a field, consider A := k[X, Y] be the ring of polynomials in two variables with coefficients in k. One can view M = A as a module over itself. It is clear that M is a free A-module of rank 1 (a basis of M over A is given by  $(1_A)$ ). Let N denote the sub-Amodule of M generated by X and Y. This means that N consists of all polynomials of the form  $P(X, Y) \cdot X + Q(X, Y) \cdot Y$  with  $P, Q \in A$ . Then N is finitely generated: the A-linear map  $\phi : A^2 \to N$ given by  $(P, Q) \mapsto PX + QY$  is surjective. But N is not free! Indeed, one can check that Ker  $\phi$  is non zero (it contains (-Y, X) for instance), so that  $\phi$  is not an isomorphism.

That being said, modules over PIDs are a bit more well-behaved:

**Theorem 1.** Let A be a principal ideal domain, and let M be a free A-module of rank m. Let N be a sub-A-module of M. Then N is free, and the rank n of N satisfies  $0 \le n \le m$ .

*Proof.* The proof requires a lemma.

**Lemma 2.** Let M be a module over a principal ideal domain A. Let  $u : M \to A$  be an A-linear map. Then there is an A-linear isomorphism

## $\mathbf{M} \simeq \operatorname{Ker} u \times \operatorname{Im} u.$

*Proof.* If u is the zero map  $m \mapsto 0$ , there is nothing to prove (since then  $\operatorname{Im} u = \{0\}$  and  $\operatorname{Ker} u = M$ ). So we can assume that  $u \neq 0$ . The image  $\operatorname{Im} u$  is then a non-zero submodule of A i.e., a non-zero ideal of A. Since A is principal, there exists  $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} u = A \cdot a$ . Note that, as an A-module,  $A \cdot a \simeq A$  (because A is integral). Hence, any element  $b \in A \cdot a$  can be written in a unique way as  $b = r \cdot a$  with  $r \in A$ . Since  $a \in \operatorname{Im} u$ , there exists  $m_0 \in M$  such that  $u(m_0) = a$ .

Consider the map  $\lambda$ : Ker  $u \times \text{Im } u \to M$  defined by  $\lambda(m, r \cdot a) \mapsto m + r \cdot m_0$ . It is clear that  $\lambda$  is a morphism of A-modules. Let us now check that  $\lambda$  is bijective. This will provide the desired isomorphism.

Let  $x = (m, r \cdot a) \in \text{Ker } u \times \text{Im } u$  be such that  $\lambda(m, r \cdot a) = 0$ . Then  $m + r \cdot m_0 = 0$  in M. Suppose for a moment that  $r \neq 0$ , then we deduce that  $u(m) = -r \cdot u(m_0)$ . Since  $m \in \text{Ker } u$  and  $u(m_0) = a \neq 0$ , this contradicts the fact that A is integral. Hence r = 0 and  $m = -rm_0 = 0$ . Thus x = 0 and  $\lambda$  is injective.

Now let  $m \in M$  be an arbitrary element. Since  $a = u(m_0)$  generates Im u as an A-module, we have  $u(m) = r \cdot u(m_0)$  for some  $r \in A$ . We write  $m = (m - r \cdot m_0) + r \cdot m_0$ , and let  $m_1 := m - r \cdot m_0$ . We have  $u(m_1) = u(m) - u(r \cdot m_0) = u(m) - ru(m_0) = 0$  so that  $m_1 \in \text{Ker } u$ . Hence  $m = \lambda(m_1, r)$ . Therefore  $\lambda$  is surjective.

We can now prove the Theorem by induction on the rank m of M. If m = 0, there is nothing to prove so we assume that  $m \ge 1$ .

Suppose that the Theorem holds for all free A-modules M' of rank m. Let us prove that the Theorem then holds for all free A-modules of rank m + 1. Let M be an arbitrary free A-module of rank m + 1, and let N be a submodule of M. By definition, we can find an A-linear isomorphism  $\phi : M \to A^{m+1}$ . Through  $\phi$ , the submodule N of M is isomorphic to the submodule  $\phi(N)$  of  $A^{m+1}$ . Hence, there is no loss of generality in assuming that  $M = A^{m+1}$  and that N is a sub-A-module of  $A^{m+1}$ . Write  $\pi' : A^{m+1} \to A$  for the projection on the last coordinate (defined by  $(a_1, \ldots, a_{m+1}) \mapsto a_{m+1}$ ). The map  $\pi'$  is clearly A-linear. We restrict  $\pi'$  to N and write  $\pi$  for the resulting map. We apply the Lemma to the A-linear map  $\pi : N \to A$ . We have an isomorphism  $N \simeq \text{Ker } \pi \times \text{Im } \pi$ . It is clear that  $\text{Ker } \pi = N \cap (A^m \times \{0\})$  and that  $A^m \times \{0\} \simeq A^m$ . Hence  $\text{Ker } \pi$  is isomorphic to a sub-A-module of  $A^m$ . Since  $A^m$  is free of rank m, we may use our induction hypothesis: this yields that  $\text{Ker } \pi$ , being a submodule of a free module of rank m, is a free A-module of rank n with  $n \leq m$ . Thus, there exists an isomorphism of A-modules  $\text{Ker } \pi \simeq A^n$ .

On the other hand,  $\operatorname{Im} \pi$  is a submodule of A (which means that  $\operatorname{Im} \pi$  is an ideal in A). Since A is a PID,  $\operatorname{Im} \pi$  is principal: we can find  $a \in A$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} \pi = A \cdot a$ . If a = 0, it is clear that  $\operatorname{Im} \pi = \{0\}$  is free of rank 0. If  $a \neq 0$ , we have an isomorphism  $\operatorname{Im} \pi \simeq A$  which shows that  $\operatorname{Im} \pi$  is free of rank 1.

Putting these ingredients together, we conclude that N is isomorphic, as an A-module, to either  $A^n \times \{0\}$  or  $A^n \times A \simeq A^{n+1}$ . In any case, N is free and its rank r satisfies  $r \leq n+1 \leq m+1$ . This completes the induction step, and concludes the proof of the Theorem.

**Theorem 3.** Let A be a principal ideal domain and M be a free A-module of rank m. Let N be a non-zero submodule of N. By the previous theorem, N is free, and the rank n of N satisfies  $1 \le n \le m$ . There exist

- a basis  $(e_1, \ldots, e_m)$  of M over A,
- and non-zero elements  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  in A,

such that

- $(a_1e_1,\ldots,a_ne_n)$  is a basis of N over A,
- and, for all  $i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$ ,  $a_i$  divides  $a_{i+1}$ .

This theorem proves that there exists a basis of M which is "adapted to N". The ideals  $A \cdot a_1, \ldots, A \cdot a_n$  are called the invariant factors of M in N. One can show that they are uniquely determined by M and N (warning: the elements  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  are only determined up to multiplication by units in A).

*Proof.* We prove the Theorem by induction on the rank of M. The induction step requires the following construction.

Let M be a free A-module of rank  $m \ge 1$ , and  $N \ne 0$  be a submodule of M. The set Hom<sub>A</sub>(M, A) of A-linear maps  $u : M \to A$  can be equipped with an A-module structure. We denote this A-module by  $M^{\vee}$  (we could call it the "dual of M").

For any  $u \in M^{\vee}$ , the image u(N) of u restricted to N is a sub-A-module of A i.e., u(N) is an ideal of A. Since A is a principal ideal domain, we may find a generator  $a_u \in A$  of u(N). Consider the family  $\mathcal{F} := \{u(N), u \in M^{\vee}\}$  of ideals of A. The family  $\mathcal{F}$  is non-empty since it contains the ideal 0 (the 0-map  $v \mapsto 0$  is an element of  $M^{\vee}$ ). Now, by a corollary of Theorem 1.3.1, any non-empty family of ideals in a principal ideal domain admits a maximal element.

This means that there exist  $u_{\rm N} \in {\rm M}^{\vee}$  and an element  $a_{\rm N} \in {\rm A}$  with  $u_{\rm N}({\rm N}) = {\rm A} \cdot a_n$  such that, for all  $v \in {\rm M}^{\vee}$ ,  $v({\rm N}) \subset u_{\rm N}({\rm N})$ . In other words, for all  $v \in {\rm M}^{\vee}$ ,  $a_{\rm N}$  divides  $a_v$  in A (or  ${\rm A} \cdot a_v \subseteq {\rm A} \cdot a_{\rm N}$ ). By construction, we may find  $e \in {\rm N}$  such that  $u_{\rm N}(e) = a_{\rm N}$ .

• Fact 1 : the element  $a_N$  is non-zero.

*Proof.* Let us choose a basis  $(g_1, \ldots, g_m)$  of M over A (such a basis exists by hypothesis), and denote by  $p_i : M \to A$  the *i*-th coordinate function. This map is characterised by  $p_i(g_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$  and the fact that it is A-linear. We have  $p_i \in M^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $N \neq 0$ , there is an index *i* such that  $p_i(N) \neq 0$ . For this index *i*, we have  $0 \subsetneq p_i(N) \subset u_N(N)$ , by maximality of  $u_N(N)$ . In particular, the element  $a_N$  cannot be zero since the ideal it generates contains a non-zero ideal  $p_i(N)$ .

• Fact 2 : for all  $v \in M^{\vee}$ ,  $a_N$  divides v(e) in A.

Proof. Let  $v \in M^{\vee}$ . The ring A is principal, so we may introduce  $d := \gcd(a_N, v(e))$ . It suffices to show that  $d = a_N$ , up to a unit of A. By Bézout's theorem, there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in A$  such that  $d = \alpha a_N + \beta v(e)$ . By construction  $a_N = u_N(e)$ , so that  $d = \alpha u_N(e) + \beta v(e) = (\alpha u_N + \beta v)(e)$ . Since  $M^{\vee}$  is an A-module, the map  $w := \alpha u_N + \beta v$  belongs to  $M^{\vee}$ , and the identity we have just proved shows that  $d \in w(N)$ . Therefore  $A \cdot d \subset w(N)$  because w(N) is an ideal in A. We have  $A \cdot a_n \subset A \cdot d$  because d divides  $a_n$ . Moreover, the maximality of  $u_N(N)$  implies that  $w(N) \subset u_N(N)$ . We thus have a chain of inclusions:  $A \cdot a_N \subset A \cdot d \subset w(N) \subset u_N(N) = A \cdot a_N$ . Hence  $A \cdot a_n = A \cdot d$ , so that  $a_N$  and d differ by a unit in A.

Let us now choose a basis  $(g_1, \ldots, g_m)$  for M over A and write, as above,  $p_i : M \to A$  for the *i*-th coordinate function  $(1 \leq i \leq m)$ . Applying Fact 2 to  $v = p_i$  yields that  $a_N$  divides  $p_i(e)$  in A: hence there exists  $b_i \in A$  such that  $p_i(e) = b_i \cdot a_N$ . We let  $f := \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \cdot g_i \in M$ . We have  $e = \sum p_i(e) \cdot g_i = a_N \cdot f$ . Moreover,  $u_N(f) = 1$  since  $u_N(e) = a_N$  and A is integral.

• Fact 3 : we have  $M = \text{Ker } u_n + A \cdot f$ , the sum being direct (i.e.  $(\text{Ker } u_n) \cap A \cdot f = 0$ ).

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\operatorname{Ker} u_n + A \cdot f \subset M$ . For any  $x \in M$ , we can write  $x = u_N(x) \cdot f + (x - u_N(x) \cdot f)$ . One readily checks that  $u_N(x) \cdot f \in A \cdot f$  and that  $x - u_N(x) \cdot f \in \operatorname{Ker} u_N$ . Hence  $M = \operatorname{Ker} u_N + A \cdot f$ . It is also clear that  $(\operatorname{Ker} u_N) \cap A \cdot f = 0$ , since  $u_N(f) = 1 \neq 0$  in A.  $\Box$ 

• Fact 4 : we have  $N = (Ker u_n \cap N) + A \cdot a_N f$ , the sum being direct.

*Proof.* The proof is very similar to that of the previous fact. Here, one decomposes any  $y \in \mathbb{N}$  as  $y = b \cdot a_{\mathbb{N}} f + (y - u_{\mathbb{N}}(y) \cdot f)$ , where  $b \in \mathbb{A}$  is such that  $u_{\mathbb{N}}(y) = ba_{\mathbb{N}}$ .

We can now prove the Theorem. Assume that, for some  $m \ge 1$ , the statement holds for free A-modules of rank m. Let us prove that the theorem holds for free A-modules of rank m + 1.

Let M be a free A-module of rank m + 1, and let  $N \subset M$  be a non-zero submodule of M. Consider the submodule  $M' = \text{Ker } u_N$  of M which we constructed above. By the previous Theorem, M' is a free A-module. And Fact 3 shows that M' has rank m (a slight reformulation of Fact 3 indeed shows that  $M \simeq M' \times A$ ). The construction also provides  $a_1 := a_N \in A \setminus \{0\}$  and a  $e_1 := f \in M$ .

We are now in a position to apply the induction hypothesis: M' is a free A-module of rank m, and N' := N  $\cap$  M' is a submodule of M'. The induction hypothesis then yields that there exist a basis  $(e_2, \ldots, e_m)$  of M' over A and non-zero elements  $a_2, \ldots, a_n$  of A such that

- $(a_2e_2,\ldots,a_ne_n)$  is a basis for N over A,
- and  $a_i$  divides  $a_{i+1}$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

Fact 3 above shows that  $(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m)$  is a basis for M over A. Fact 4 proves that  $(a_1e_1, a_2e_2, \ldots, a_ne_n)$  is a basis for N over A. It remains to prove that  $a_1$  divides  $a_2$  in A.

Consider the A-linear map  $v : \mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{A}$  defined by  $v(e_1) = v(e_2) = 1$  and  $v(e_i) = 0$  for  $i \ge 3$ . Then we have  $a_1 = a_N = a_N v(e_1) = v(a_N e_1) = v(f)$  so  $a_1 \in v(\mathbf{N})$ . Therefore, by maximality of  $u_N(\mathbf{N})$ , we have  $\mathbf{A} \cdot a_N = \mathbf{A} \cdot a_1 \subset v(\mathbf{N}) \subset u_N(\mathbf{N}) \subset \mathbf{A} \cdot a_N$ . Hence  $v(\mathbf{N}) = \mathbf{A} \cdot a_1$ . We also have  $a_2 = v(a_2 e_2) \in v(\mathbf{N})$ . Thus  $\mathbf{A} \cdot a_2 \subset \mathbf{A} \cdot a_1$ , which exactly means that  $a_1$  divides  $a_2$  in  $\mathbf{A}$ .

This concludes the proof of the second theorem.