

## CHAPTER 2

### ALGEBRAIC CURVES

#### 2.1. Smoothness of curves

**2.1.1. Reminder and setup.** — Throughout the chapter,  $k$  is a perfect field (think of  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ ). Let  $C$  be an affine variety of dimension 1 in  $\mathbb{A}^n$  defined over  $k$ , with corresponding prime ideals  $I \subset \bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $I(C/k) \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Recall that the coordinate ring of  $C$  is the quotient  $\bar{k}[C] := \bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I(C/k)$  (an integral domain). Hilbert's Nullstellensatz says that there is a one-to-one correspondence between maximal ideals in  $\bar{k}[C]$  and points on  $C$ : to a point  $P \in C$ , this correspondence associates the ideal  $\mathfrak{M}_P := \{f \in \bar{k}[C] : f(P) = 0\}$ .

The function field of  $C$  is then the quotient field of  $\bar{k}[C]$ . Elements of  $\bar{k}(C)$  are called rational functions on  $C$ . By assumption on the dimension of  $C$ , the extension  $\bar{k}(C)/\bar{k}$  has transcendence degree 1.

Now, if  $C$  is a projective curve  $\subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , and if  $C'$  is a nonempty affine part of  $C$  (i.e.  $C' = C \cap \mathbb{A}^n$  as in the previous chapter), then the function field of  $C$  is defined to be  $\bar{k}(C')$ . One can check that this definition is independent of the affine part  $C'$  (though  $\bar{k}[C']$  does). The elements in  $\bar{k}(C)$  can be represented as fractions of polynomials  $g/h$  where  $g, h \in \bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , OR as fractions of homogeneous polynomials of the same degree  $G/H$  with  $G, H \in \bar{k}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ . The functions  $g_1/h_1$  and  $g_2/h_2$  are equal if  $g_1h_2 - g_2h_1$  is in  $I$ .

**Example 2.1.** — One has  $\bar{k}[\mathbb{A}^1] = \bar{k}[x]$  and  $\bar{k}(\mathbb{A}^1) = \bar{k}(x)$ , the field of rational functions with coefficients in  $\bar{k}$ . This implies that  $\bar{k}[\mathbb{P}^1] = \bar{k}[x]$  and  $\bar{k}(\mathbb{P}^1) = \bar{k}(x)$ .

Let  $P$  be a point on an affine curve  $C$ , the set of rational functions on  $C$  that are regular at  $P$  (or defined at  $P$ ) is a subring of  $\bar{k}(C)$ , called the local ring of  $C$  at  $P$ , and denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_P$ : it is the localization at  $\mathfrak{M}_P$  of  $\bar{k}[C]$  or, more explicitly,

$$\mathcal{O}_P = \left\{ f \in \bar{k}(C) : f = \frac{g}{h} \text{ with } g, h \in \bar{k}[C] \text{ and } h(P) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

The ring  $\mathcal{O}_P$  is indeed a local ring: its unique maximal ideal is  $\mathfrak{M}_P$ .

If  $C$  is a projective curve and  $P \in C$  is a point, one defines the local ring of  $C$  at  $P$  to be the local ring of an affine part  $C'$  of  $C$  containing  $P$ .

**2.1.2. Smoothness.** — We now formalize the notion of smoothness of a curve. We start by defining this in terms of the Jacobian criterion for the existence of a tangent plane:

**Definition 2.2.** — Let  $C \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  be an affine curve and  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a set of generators for  $I(C)$ . For a point  $P \in C$ , we say that  $C$  is smooth (or nonsingular) at  $P$  if the  $m \times n$  matrix (the Jacobian matrix)

$$\left[ \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(P) \right]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$$

has rank  $n - 1$ . If  $C$  is nonsingular at every point, then we say that  $C$  is nonsingular (or smooth).

Note that the rank of the matrix above is independent of the choice of generators  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  for  $I(C)$  (but the matrix itself does depend on that choice). See below.

**Example 2.3 (Plane curves).** — Let  $C \subset \mathbb{A}^2$  be given by a single nonconstant polynomial  $f \in \bar{k}[x, y]$ :

$$C : f(x, y) = 0.$$

By definition, a point  $P \in C$  is smooth if and only if

$$\left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(P), \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(P) \right) \neq (0, 0).$$

In other words,  $C$  is smooth at  $P$  if the tangent vector does not vanish. If  $P = (x, y)$  is smooth, the line given by the equation (in the  $(X, Y)$ -plane  $\mathbb{A}^2$ ):

$$T_P C : \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(P) \cdot (X - x) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(P) \cdot (Y - y) = 0$$

is then called the tangent line of  $C$  at  $P$ . (If  $P$  was singular, this linear subspace  $T_P C$  is actually the whole of  $\mathbb{A}^2$ ). On the other hand, the singular points  $Q = (x, y)$  of  $C$  are solutions of the system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} f(Q) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(Q) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(Q) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This system gives 3 polynomial relations between the 2 coordinates of  $Q$ . Thus, it doesn't seem absurd that there are not many singular points on a plane curve (see a Proposition later on).

**Example 2.4.** — Consider the two curves

$$V_1 : y^2 = x^3 + x \quad V_2 : y^2 = x^3 + x^2.$$

Using the previous example, we see that any singular point on  $V_1$  (resp.  $V_2$ ) satisfies

$$V_1^{sing} : 2y = 0 = 3x^2 + 1 \quad V_2^{sing} : 2y = 0 = 3x^2 + 2x.$$

Thus  $V_1$  is nonsingular, while  $V_2$  has one singular point (namely  $(0, 0)$ ). Draw a picture of  $V_1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $V_2(\mathbb{R})$  to see the difference.

There is another characterization of smoothness, in terms of rational functions on the curve  $C$ . More precisely, given an affine curve  $C \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  and a point  $P = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in C$ , we define the following map:

$$f \in \bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mapsto f_P^{(1)} := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(P) \cdot (x_i - a_i) \in \bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n],$$

which to a polynomial  $f$  associates the “first order part of  $f$  at  $P$ ” (in the Taylor expansion of  $f$  at  $P$ ). Now define the tangent space of  $C$  at  $P$  as:

$$T_P C := \bigcap_{f \in I(C)} Z(f_P^{(1)}) \subset \mathbb{A}^n.$$

Note that, if  $I(C)$  is generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_m$ , then, for any  $g \in I(C)$ , the linear part  $g_P^{(1)}$  is a linear combination of  $f_{1,P}^{(1)}, \dots, f_{m,P}^{(1)}$ . In particular,  $T_P C = \bigcap_{i=1}^m Z(f_{i,P}^{(1)})$ . Since  $f_P^{(1)}$  is a polynomial of degree 1 for all  $f \in I(C)$ , the intersection  $T_P C$  is actually an affine subspace of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , and  $P \in T_P C$  (make a picture). Note that the derivatives involved in the definition of  $T_P C$  are formal derivatives of polynomials ( $\partial/\partial X_i : X_i^n \mapsto nX_i^{n-1}$  and  $X_j \mapsto 0$  for all  $j \neq i$ ), and that no calculus is used.

**Exercise 9.** — Consider the function  $d : C \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , defined by  $P \mapsto \dim_{\bar{k}} T_P C$ . For each  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $S(r) := \{P \in C : d(P) = r\}$ . Show that  $S(r)$  is an affine algebraic subset of  $C \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ .

Hint: use minors to express the fact that the Jacobian matrix  $\left[ \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(P) \right]$  has rank  $\leq n - r$ .

Show that  $d(P) = 1$  for “almost all points  $P$ ”.

We now give an alternative description of  $T_P C$ , which is more intrinsic to  $C$  and can be used to define the tangent space at a point on a projective curve. For each point  $P \in C$ , recall that  $\mathfrak{M}_P$  is a maximal ideal, and that there is an isomorphism  $\bar{k}[C]/\mathfrak{M}_P \rightarrow \bar{k}$  (given by  $f \bmod \mathfrak{M}_P \mapsto f(P)$ ). The quotient  $\mathfrak{M}_P/\mathfrak{M}_P^2$  then acquires the structure of a  $\bar{k}$ -vector space (sometimes called the cotangent space of  $C$  at  $P$ ).

**Proposition 2.5.** — Let  $C$  be a variety and  $P \in C$ . The point  $P$  is nonsingular if and only if  $\dim_{\bar{k}}(\mathfrak{M}_P/\mathfrak{M}_P^2) = 1$ .

*Proof.* — Let us set up more notations. Suppose  $P = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in C \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ : by using a linear coordinate change  $x'_i = x_i - a_i$ , we can assume that  $P$  is the origin  $(0, \dots, 0)$ . In particular,  $T_P C \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  is a sub vector space of  $\bar{k}^n$  (and not only an affine subspace). We write  $\mathfrak{M}_P$  (resp.  $M_P$ ) for the maximal ideal of  $P$  in  $\bar{k}[C]$  (resp. in  $\bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ). Indeed, recall that the Nullstellensatz gives a bijection between maximal ideals of  $\bar{k}[C]$  (resp.  $\bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ) and points on  $C$  (resp. on  $\mathbb{A}^n$ ). By our assumption that  $P = (0, \dots, 0)$ , we have  $M_P = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ . By writing down the definitions, one can check that  $\mathfrak{M}_P \simeq M_P/I(C) \subset \bar{k}[C] = \bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I(C)$ .

We write  $(\bar{k}^n)^*$  for the dual of  $\bar{k}^n$  (as a  $\bar{k}$ -vector space): it has basis  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Since  $P = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ , the linear part  $f_P^{(1)}$  at  $P$  of any polynomial  $f \in \bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is an element of  $(\bar{k}^n)^*$ : we can define the map

$$d : M_P \rightarrow (\bar{k}^n)^*, \quad f \mapsto f_P^{(1)}.$$

Now,  $d$  is surjective because  $f = x_i$  is sent to  $x_i$  (the natural basis of  $(\bar{k}^n)^*$ ). Moreover,  $\ker d = M_P^2$  (because  $f_P^{(1)} = 0$  if and only if  $f$  starts with quadratic terms in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , which is equivalent to  $f \in M_P^2$ ). The linear map  $d$  thus provides an isomorphism of  $\bar{k}$ -vector spaces  $M_P/M_P^2 \simeq (\bar{k}^n)^*$ .

Since  $T_P C$  is a subvector space of  $\bar{k}^n$ , there is a restriction map  $(\bar{k}^n)^* \rightarrow (T_P C)^*$  ( $\lambda \mapsto \lambda|_{T_P C}$ ). Composing this restriction with the isomorphism induced by  $d$ , we get a linear map

$$D : M_P \rightarrow (\bar{k}^n)^* \rightarrow (T_P C)^*, \quad f \mapsto f_P^{(1)}.$$

As a composition of two surjective maps,  $D$  is itself surjective. I claim that  $\ker D = I(C) + M_P^2$ , so that  $\mathfrak{M}_P/\mathfrak{M}_P^2 \simeq M_P/(M_P^2 + I(C)) \simeq (T_P C)^*$ . Assuming the claim for the moment, and noticing that  $\dim(T_P C)^* = \dim T_P C = n - \text{rank } J_P$  (where  $J_P$  denotes the jacobian matrix of  $C$  at  $P$ ), we obtain that

$$\dim \mathfrak{M}_P/\mathfrak{M}_P^2 + \text{rank } J_P = \dim \mathbb{A}^n = n,$$

which implies the desired equivalence.

We now prove the claim. Let  $f \in M_P$ , then  $f \in \ker D$  if and only if  $f_P^{(1)}|_{T_P C} = 0$ , if and only if  $f_P^{(1)}$  is of the form  $f_P^{(1)} = \sum a_i g_i^{(1)}$  for some  $g_i \in I(C)$  (because  $T_P C \subset \bar{k}^n$  is the vector space defined by  $g_P^{(1)} = 0$  for all  $g \in I(C)$ ). But  $f$  is of this form if and only if  $f - \sum a_i g_i$  is in the kernel of  $d$ , i.e. if and only if  $f - \sum a_i g_i$  is in  $M_P^2$ . Which concludes the proof of our claim that  $\ker D = I(C) + M_P^2$ .  $\square$

We have actually proved above that tangent space of  $C$  at  $P$  is isomorphic to the dual of the cotangent space  $T_P C \simeq \text{Hom}_{\bar{k}-\text{vs}}(\mathfrak{M}_P/\mathfrak{M}_P^2, \bar{k})$ . A curve  $C$  is smooth at  $P$  if and only if the tangent space  $T_P C$  has the right dimension (i.e. 1), which is equivalent to the Jacobian matrix having maximal rank (i.e.  $n - 1$ ). Note that  $\dim T_C V$  is always  $\geq 1$  for all  $P \in C$  (and there is

a nonempty open subset  $U \subset C$  such that equality holds for all  $P \in U$  – see exercise above or [Har77, I.5, Prop. 2A]).

The proposition above gives us an intrinsic criterion of smoothness: it only depends on the local ring of  $C$  at  $P$  (up to isomorphism). This allows us to give a definition of smoothness for projective curves.

**Definition 2.6.** — Let  $C$  be a projective curve, and  $P \in C$  be a point. Given an affine part  $C'$  of  $C$  containing  $P$  (in more details: assume that  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  and that  $P \in C \cap U_i$  for some  $i$ , then  $C' = \phi_i^{-1}(C \cap U_i) \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ ), one says that  $C$  is smooth at  $P$  if and only if  $C'$  is smooth at  $P$ . Since the definition only depends on the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_P$  of  $C$  at  $P$  (which is, by definition, that of  $C'$  at  $P$ ), this notion makes sense.

**Example 2.7.** — Consider the point  $P = (0, 0)$  on the varieties  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  of the example above. In both cases, the ideal  $\mathfrak{M}_P$  is generated by  $X$  and  $Y$ , and  $\mathfrak{M}_P^2$  is thus generated by  $X^2$ ,  $XY$  and  $Y^2$ . For  $V_1$  we have  $X \equiv Y^2 - X^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}_P^2}$  so  $\mathfrak{M}_P/\mathfrak{M}_P^2$  is generated by  $Y$  alone. For  $V_2$  though, there no nontrivial relation between  $X$  and  $Y$  modulo  $\mathfrak{M}_P^2$  so  $\mathfrak{M}_P/\mathfrak{M}_P^2$  requires  $X$  and  $Y$  as generators (*i.e.* dimension 2). This proves again that  $V_1$  is nonsingular at  $(0, 0)$ , but  $V_2$  is singular.

**Example 2.8.** — It is sometimes easier to rely on explicit (affine or projective) equations. Assume here that  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  is given by a unique homogeneous equation  $F \in \bar{k}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$  of degree  $d$ , and that  $P = [a_0 : a_1 : a_2] \in C$ .

Then  $\sum \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(P)x_i = 0$  is the equation of a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  (*i.e.* a projective algebraic set defined by a linear homogeneous equation). This hyperplane plays the role of the tangent space of  $C$  at  $P$ : if  $P \in C \cap U_i$  (some  $U_i \simeq \mathbb{A}^n$ ), then this hyperplane is the projective closure of the affine tangent space to  $C \cap U_i$  at  $P$ . This last claim can be checked using Euler's formula for homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d$ :

$$\sum x_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = d \cdot F.$$

We leave the proof of the following proposition as an exercise (you may want to restrict to the case where  $C$  is an affine curve defined by the vanishing of a single polynomial)

**Proposition 2.9.** — *A curve  $C$  has only finitely many singular points.*

See [NX09, Thm. 3.1.7], or [Rei88, ]

**2.1.3. Interlude: definition of discrete valuations.** — We add  $\infty$  to the field of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  to form the set  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ , and we put  $\infty + \infty = \infty + c = c + \infty = \infty$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and we agree that  $c < \infty$ .

**Definition 2.10.** — A discrete (normalized) valuation on a field  $K$  is a map  $v : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  such that:

- (i)  $v(z) = \infty$  if and only if  $z = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $v(yz) = v(y) + v(z)$  for all  $y, z \in K$ ,
- (iii)  $v(y + z) \geq \min\{v(y), v(z)\}$  (ultrametric triangle inequality),
- (iv)  $v(K^*) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Conditions (ii) and (iv) are equivalent to requiring that  $v : K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a surjective group homomorphism. Given a discrete valuation  $v$  on a field  $K$ , the set consisting of 0 and all  $x \in K^*$  such that  $v(x) \geq 0$  is a ring, called the valuation ring of  $v$ .

An integral domain  $R$  is called a discrete valuation ring if there is a discrete valuation  $v$  on its field of fractions  $K$  such that  $R$  is the valuation ring of  $v$ . One can check that such a ring is local (*i.e.* it has a unique maximal ideal) with maximal ideal

$$\{0\} \cup \{x \in K^* : v(x) > 0\} = \{x \in K^* : v(x) > 0\}.$$

**2.1.4. Consequences of smoothness.** — There is a more algebraic interpretation of the last characterization of smoothness:

**Proposition 2.11.** — *Let  $C$  be a curve and  $P \in C$  be a point at which  $C$  is smooth. Then  $\mathcal{O}_P$  is a discrete valuation ring.*

*Proof.* — By definition of smoothness, the vector space  $\mathfrak{M}_P/\mathfrak{M}_P^2$  is a one-dimensional vector space over  $\bar{k} = \mathcal{O}_P/\mathfrak{M}_P$ . Then use [AM69, Prop. 9.2]:

**Lemma 2.12.** — *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian local domain that is not a field, let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be its maximal ideal, and  $\kappa = R/\mathfrak{M}$  be its residue field. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $R$  is a discrete valuation ring,
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{M}$  is principal,
- (iii)  $\dim_{\kappa} \mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M}^2 = 1$ .

Here  $\mathcal{O}_P$  is local (its only maximal ideal is  $\mathfrak{M}_P$ ) and noetherian (because the localization of the quotient of a polynomial ring is), so the proposition follows.  $\square$

In the setting of the previous proposition, one can actually give an explicit description of the discrete valuation in question:

**Definition 2.13.** — Let  $C$  be a curve and  $P \in C$  be a smooth point. The normalized discrete valuation on  $\mathcal{O}_P$  is the map  $\text{ord}_P : \mathcal{O}_P \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  given by:

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{O}_P, \quad \text{ord}_P(f) = \sup \left\{ d \in \mathbb{N} : f \in \mathfrak{M}_P^d \right\}.$$

One can extend  $\text{ord}_P$  to the whole of  $\bar{k}(C)$  by putting  $\text{ord}_P(f/g) = \text{ord}_P(f) - \text{ord}_P(g)$  (since  $\bar{k}(C)$  is the fraction field of  $\mathcal{O}_P$ ). We denote this extension by the same letter.

A uniformizer for  $C$  at  $P$  is any function  $\pi \in \bar{k}(C)$  with  $\text{ord}_P(\pi) = 1$  (exercise: check that  $\pi$  generates  $\mathfrak{M}_P$ ).

Given a valuation  $\text{ord}_P$  on  $\bar{k}(C)$  as above, one can recover  $\mathcal{O}_P$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_P$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_P = \{f \in \bar{k}(C) : \text{ord}_P(f) \geq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{M}_P = \{f \in \bar{k}(C) : \text{ord}_P(f) > 0\}.$$

Notice that the nonzero elements of  $\bar{k} \subset \bar{k}(C)$  have valuation 0. If  $P$  and  $Q$  are distinct nonsingular points on a projective curve  $C$ , then the corresponding valuations  $\text{ord}_P$  and  $\text{ord}_Q$  are not the same (*i.e.* they have distinct valuation rings). Indeed, if  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , we can assume that  $P = [a_0 : a_1 : \dots : a_{n-1} : 1]$  and  $Q = [b_0 : b_1 : \dots : b_{n-1} : 1]$  with  $a_0 \neq b_0$ . Consider the function  $f := (x_0/x_n - a_0)^{-1} \bmod I(C)$ :  $f \notin \mathcal{O}_P$  since  $\text{ord}_P f = -1$ , but  $f \in \mathcal{O}_Q$  since  $\text{ord}_Q f = 0$ . Later on, we will see that it is possible to (almost) reconstruct a point  $P \in C$  if we are given a discrete valuation on  $\bar{k}(C)$ .

**Remark 2.14.** — Let  $C$  be a curve defined over  $k$ . If  $P$  is a  $k$ -rational point on  $C$ , then it is not hard to show that  $k(C)$  contains uniformizers for  $P$ . See [Sil09, Exercise II.16], or a Lemma below.

**Definition 2.15.** — Let  $C$  be a curve and  $P \in C$  be a smooth point, and let  $f \in \bar{k}(C)$ . The order of  $f$  at  $P$  is  $\text{ord}_P(f)$ . If  $\text{ord}_P(f) > 0$ , one says that  $f$  has a zero at  $P$  (or that  $P$  is a zero of  $f$ ) and if  $\text{ord}_P(f) < 0$ , one says that  $f$  has a pole at  $P$  (or that  $P$  is a pole of  $f$ ).

If  $\text{ord}_P(f) \geq 0$ , then  $f$  is regular (or defined) at  $P$  and one can evaluate  $f$  at  $P$ : writing  $f(P)$  makes sense. Otherwise,  $f$  has a pole at  $P$  and we write  $f(P) = \infty$ .

**Example 2.16.** — Let  $C = \mathbb{P}^1$  and choose  $P = (a) \in \mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $f \in \bar{k}(C) = \bar{k}(x)$ . The valuation of  $f$  at  $P$  is the multiplicity of  $a$  as a root or pole of  $f$ . If  $a$  is a pole of  $f$ , the multiplicity of  $a$  as a pole is taken with a minus sign. If  $P = \infty \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \mathbb{A}^1$ , then the valuation of  $f$  at  $P = \infty$  is  $-\deg f$ , where  $\deg$  means degree as a polynomial in  $x$ .

**Proposition 2.17.** — Let  $C$  be a smooth curve and  $f \in \bar{k}(C)$  with  $f \neq 0$ . Then there are only finitely many points of  $C$  at which  $f$  has a pole or a zero. Furthermore, if  $f$  has no poles (or no zeros), then  $f \in \bar{k}$ .

*Proof.* — Assume we have proved that  $f$  has finitely many poles, then using the result with  $1/f$  will show that  $f$  has only finitely many zeros. So we need only prove the finiteness of poles of  $f$ . The proof of this can be found, for example, in [Har77]: see I.6.5, II.6.1 and I.3.4(a) there.  $\square$

**Example 2.18.** — Consider the two curves

$$C_1 : Y^2 = X^3 + X \quad C_2 : Y^2 = X^3 + X^2.$$

Remember our earlier convention concerning affine equations for projective varieties: each of  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  has a unique point at infinity. Let  $P = (0, 0)$ . Then  $C_1$  is smooth at  $P$ , but  $C_2$  is not. The maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{M}_P$  of  $\bar{k}[C_1]_P$  has the property that  $\mathfrak{M}_P/\mathfrak{M}_P^2$  is generated by  $Y$  (see an example above), so for example

$$\text{ord}_P(Y) = 1, \quad \text{ord}_P(X) = 2, \quad \text{ord}_P(2Y^2 - X) = 2, \dots$$

(for the last, note that  $2Y^2 - X = 2X^3 + X = X(2X^2 + 1)$ ). On the other hand,  $\mathcal{O}_P$  is not a discrete valuation ring.

### 2.1.5. A lemma in Galois cohomology. —

**Lemma 2.19.** — Let  $V$  be a  $\bar{k}$ -vector space, and assume that  $G_k$  acts continuously on  $V$  in a manner that is compatible with its action on  $\bar{k}$ . Let

$$V_k := V^{G_k} = \{v \in V : \sigma(v) = v \ \forall \sigma \in G_k\}.$$

Then,  $V \simeq \bar{k} \otimes_k V_k$ . In words, the vector space  $V$  has a basis consisting of  $G_k$ -invariants vectors.

The hypothesis of “continuity” means that, for all  $v \in V$ , the subgroup

$$H_v := \{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) : \sigma(v) = v\} \subset G_k$$

of elements fixing  $v$  has finite index in  $G_k$ . In particular, this implies that, for all  $v \in V$ , there is a finite Galois extension  $L/k$  such that  $\tau(v) = v$  for all  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/L)$  (namely, take  $L$  to be the Galois closure of the fixed field of  $H_v$ ).

*Proof.* — It is not hard to check that  $V_k$  is a vector space over  $k$ . We need to show that any  $v \in V$  is a  $\bar{k}$ -linear combination of elements of  $V_k$  (the converse inclusion being obvious). Let  $v \in V$  and choose a finite Galois extension  $L/k$  (inside  $\bar{k}$ ) such that  $\tau(v) = v$  for all  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/L)$  (i.e. “ $v$  is defined over  $L$ ”). Now let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be a  $k$ -basis of  $L$  (seen as a vector space over  $k$ ), and let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  denote the elements of  $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ . For all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , consider

$$w_i := \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(\alpha_i \cdot v) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)} \sigma(\alpha_i \cdot v) = \text{Trace}_{L/k}(\alpha_i \cdot v).$$

The, by construction,  $\sigma(w_i) = w_i$  for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ , which means that  $w_i \in V_k$ . By a classical lemma (sometimes called Dedekind’s lemma, or Artin’s Lemma), the matrix  $[\sigma_j(\alpha_i)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  is nonsingular, and thus invertible. This fact is often proved in a course about Galois theory (see the lecture notes for *Algebra 3*, Lemma 23.15). We then deduce that each of the  $\sigma_j(v)$  can be written as a  $L$ -linear combination of  $w_1, \dots, w_n$ . Which concludes the proof.

As a remark, note that a fancy way of stating this Lemma is:  $H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), \text{GL}_n(\bar{k})) = 0$ . If you know a bit of Galois cohomology, you can reprove the Lemma as a consequence of Hilbert’s theorem 90.  $\square$

**2.1.6. Smoothness and extensions of function fields.** — The next proposition is useful when one deals with curves over finite fields (of positive characteristic):

**Proposition 2.20.** — *Let  $C$  be a curve defined over  $k$  and let  $\pi \in k(C)$  be a uniformizer of  $C$  at a smooth point  $P \in C(k)$ . Then  $k(C)$  is a finite separable extension of  $k(\pi)$ .*

*Proof.* — The field  $k(C)$  is clearly a finite algebraic extension of  $k(\pi)$ , since it is finitely generated over  $k$ , has transcendence degree one over  $k$  (since  $C$  is a curve), and  $\pi \notin k$ . Now let  $f \in k(C)$ , the claim is that  $f$  is separable over  $k(\pi)$ .

In any case,  $f$  is algebraic over  $k(\pi)$ , so it satisfies a polynomial relation

$$\Phi(\pi, f) = 0, \quad \text{with } \Phi(\Pi, X) = \sum a_{i,j} \Pi^i X^j \in k[\Pi, X].$$

We may further assume that  $\Phi$  is chosen so as to have minimal degree in  $X$  (i.e.  $\Phi(\pi, X)$  is a minimal polynomial for  $f$  over  $k(\pi)$ ). We denote by  $p > 0$  the characteristic of  $k$ .

If  $\Phi(\Pi, X)$  contains a nonzero term  $a_{i,j} \Pi^i X^j$  where  $p$  does not divide  $j$ , then  $\partial \Phi(\pi, X) / \partial X$  is not identically zero, so  $f$  is separable over  $k(\pi)$ .

We now need to show that this actually holds. Suppose instead that  $\Phi(\Pi, X)$  has the form  $\Psi(\Pi, X^p)$  and let us find a contradiction. The main point is that, for all  $F(\Pi, X) \in k[\Pi, X]$ ,  $F(\Pi^p, X^p)$  is a  $p$ -th power (this is true because we have assumed that the base-field  $k$  is perfect of characteristic  $p$ , which implies that every element of  $k$  is a  $p$ -th power, thus if  $F = \sum a_{i,j} \Pi^i X^j$  and if  $b_{i,j}^p = a_{i,j}$ , then  $F(\Pi^p, X^p) = (\sum b_{i,j} \Pi^i X^j)^p$ ). Back to  $\Phi(\Pi, X) = \Psi(\Pi, X^p)$ , we regroup the terms according to powers of  $X$  modulo  $p$ :

$$\Phi(\Pi, X) = \Psi(\Pi, X^p) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left( \sum_{i,j} b_{i,j,k} \Pi^{ip} X^{jp} \right) X^k = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \phi_k(\Pi^p, X^p) \cdot X^k = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \phi_k(\Pi, X)^p \cdot X^k.$$

By assumption, we have  $\Phi(\pi, f) = 0$  and, since  $\pi$  is a uniformizer for  $C$  at  $P$ , we also have

$$\text{ord}_P(\phi_k(\pi, f)^p f^k) = p \cdot \text{ord}_P(\phi_k(\pi, f)) + k \cdot \text{ord}_P \pi \equiv k \pmod{p}.$$

In particular, each of the terms in  $\sum \phi_k(\pi, f) \cdot f^k$  has a distinct order at  $P$ , so every term must vanish (because the sum does). But at least one of the  $\phi_k(\Pi, X)$  must involve  $X$  and for that  $k$ , the relation  $\phi_k(\pi, f) = 0$  contradicts our choice of  $\Phi(\Pi, X)$  as a minimal polynomial for  $f$  over  $k(\pi)$  (note that  $\deg_{\Pi} \phi_k(\Pi, X) \leq \frac{1}{p} \deg_{\Pi} \Phi(\Pi, X)$ ). The contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

## 2.2. Exercises

**Exercise 10.** — Let  $J = (xy, yz, yz)$  in  $\bar{k}[x, y, z]$ . Find  $V = Z(J)$  in  $\mathbb{A}^3$ . Is it a variety? Is it true that  $J = I(Z(J))$ ? Prove that  $J$  cannot be generated by 2 elements.

Let  $J' = (xy, (x - y)z) \subset \bar{k}[x, y, z]$ . Find  $Z(J')$  and compute the radical  $\text{rad}(J')$ .

**Exercise 11.** — Let  $J = (x^2 + y^2 - 1, y - 1) \subset \bar{k}[x, y]$ . Find an element  $f \in I(Z(J)) \setminus J$ .

**Exercise 12.** — Let  $J = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2, xy + xz + yz) \subset \bar{k}[x, y, z]$ . Identify  $Z(J)$  and compute  $I(V(J))$ .

**Exercise 13.** — Let  $f = x^2 - y^2$  and  $g = x^3 + xy^2 - y^3 - x^2y - x + y$  in  $\bar{k}[x, y]$  (assume that the characteristic of  $k$  is  $\neq 2, 3$ ). Let  $W = Z(f, g) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ . Is  $W$  an algebraic variety? If not, give a list of affine algebraic varieties  $V$  such that  $V \subset W$ . (*i.e.* give a list of factors of the ideal  $(f, g)$ ).

**Exercise 14.** — For any field  $k$ , prove that an algebraic set in  $\mathbb{A}^1$  is either finite or the whole of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . Identify the algebraic varieties among the algebraic sets.

**Exercise 15.** — Let  $k$  be a field.

(a) Let  $f, g \in \bar{k}[x, y]$  be irreducible polynomials, not multiples of one another. Prove that  $Z(f, g) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$  is finite.

Hint: write  $K = \bar{k}(x)$ , prove first that  $f, g$  have no common factor in the PID  $K[y]$ . Deduce that there exist  $p, q \in K[y]$  such that  $pf + qg = 1$ . By clearing denominators in  $p, q$ , show that there exist  $h \in \bar{k}[x]$  and  $a, b \in \bar{k}[x, y]$  such that  $h = af + bg$ . Conclude that there are only finitely many possible values of the  $x$ -coordinate of points in  $Z(f, g)$ .

(b) Prove that an algebraic set  $V \subset \mathbb{A}^2$  is a finite union of points and curves. Identify the algebraic varieties among those.

**Exercise 16.** — In this exercise let  $K = \bar{k}$  be the algebraic closure of any field.

(a) Let  $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a nonconstant polynomial (that is  $k \notin K$ ). Prove that  $Z(f)$  is a strict subset of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ .

Hint: suppose that  $f$  involves  $x_n$  and write  $f = \sum_i f_i x_n^i$  where  $f_i \in K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ , use induction on  $n$  to conclude.

(b) Let  $f$  be as above, suppose that  $f$  has degree  $m$  in  $x_n$  and let  $f_m(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \cdot x_n^m$  be its leading term (in  $x_n$ ). Show that, wherever  $f_m$  doesn't vanish, there is a finite nonempty set of points of  $Z(f) \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  corresponding to every value of  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ . Deduce that, in particular,  $Z(f)$  is infinite for  $n \geq 2$ .

(c) Putting together the results of the last question and of the previous exercise, show that distinct irreducible polynomials  $f, g \in K[x, y]$  define distinct algebraic sets  $Z(f), Z(g)$  in  $\mathbb{A}^2$ .

(d) Can you generalize the results of the last question to  $\mathbb{A}^n$ ?

**Exercise 17.** — Determine the singular points on the following curves in  $\mathbb{A}^2$ :

(a)  $y^2 = x^3 - x,$

(e)  $xy = x^6 + y^6,$

(b)  $y^2 = x^3 - 6x^2 + 9x,$

(f)  $x^3 = y^2 + x^4 + y^4,$

(c)  $x^2y^2 + x^2 + y^2 + 2xy(x + y + 1) = 0,$

(g)  $x^2y + xy^2 = x^4 + y^4.$

(d)  $x^2 = x^4 + y^4,$

**Exercise 18.** — Show that the hypersurface  $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  defined by  $x_0^d + \dots + x_n^d = 0$  is nonsingular if the characteristic of  $k$  does not divide  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .

**Exercise 19.** — Prove that the intersection of a hypersurface  $V \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  (that is not a hyperplane) with the tangent hyperplane  $T_P V$  to  $V$  at  $P \in V$  is singular at  $P$ .