

An analogue of the Brauer-Siegel theorem for some families of elliptic curves over function fields

RICHARD GRIFFON, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu - Université Paris Diderot

Introduction

Let E be an elliptic curve over the function field $K = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$.
The arithmetic of E is (or should be) encoded in three objects:

- $E(K)$, its **Mordell-Weil group**: a finitely generated group equipped with the canonical Néron-Tate height pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{NT}$.
- $\text{III}(E/K)$, its **Shafarevich-Tate group**: conjecturally a finite group.
- $L(E/K, s)$, its L -function and the **special value at $s = 1$** , which appears in the Birch & Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture:

$$L^*(E/K, 1) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{L(E/K, s)}{(s-1)^{\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/K, s)}}.$$

Recall that the **Néron-Tate regulator** is defined as

$$\text{Reg}(E/K) := \det(\langle P_i, P_j \rangle_{NT})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$$

where P_1, \dots, P_r denotes a basis of the free part of $E(K)$.

General diophantine problem: bounding the size of $E(K)$ and $\text{III}(E/K)$ in terms of the exponential differential height $H(E/K)$ (or in terms of the conductor $N_{E/K}$).

But individual bounds are hard to obtain: for example,

- Lang's conjecture: $\text{Reg}(E/K) \gg (\log H(E/K))^{\text{rk } E(K)}$.
- Szpiro's conjecture: $\#\text{III}(E/K) \ll_{\epsilon} N_{E/K}^{1/2+\epsilon} \ll_{\epsilon} H(E/K)^{1+\epsilon}$.

Following [Hindry], we consider the **Brauer-Siegel ratio of E/K** :

$$\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E/K) := \frac{\log(\text{Reg}(E/K) \cdot \#\text{III}(E/K))}{\log H(E/K)}.$$

In a sense, $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E/K)$ quantifies the difficulty of finding rational points on E and of computing a basis for the Mordell-Weil group of E .

- **What is the behaviour of $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E/K)$ when $H(E/K) \rightarrow \infty$?**
- **Is it always true that $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E/K) \rightarrow 1$?**

Remark 1: $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(A/K)$ makes sense for any abelian variety A over a global field K (provided its III is finite). What is the behaviour of $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(A/K)$ when $H(A/K) \rightarrow \infty$ with $\dim A$ fixed?

Remark 2: Note the analogy with the Brauer-Siegel theorem, which says that

$$\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(K/\mathbb{Q}) := \frac{\log(\text{Reg}(\mathcal{O}_K^\times) \cdot \#\mathcal{C}\ell(\mathcal{O}_K))}{\log \sqrt{\Delta_K}} \xrightarrow[\substack{\Delta_K \rightarrow \infty \\ [K:\mathbb{Q}] \text{ fixed}}]{} 1.$$

Analytic proof: (1) Link $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ with the residue $\text{res}_{s=1} \zeta_K(s)$.

(2) Study the behaviour of $\zeta_K(s)$ around $s = 1$.

This analogy suggests to study the behaviour of $L(E/K, s)$ around $s = 1$.

Previous results

Little is known about $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E/K)$. [Hindry & Pacheco] show (conditional to III being finite) that

$$0 \leq \liminf_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E/K) \leq \limsup_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E/K) \leq 1 \quad \text{as } H(E/K) \rightarrow +\infty$$

where $\mathcal{E} = \{\text{all elliptic curves over } K\}$.

Example: For all n prime to q , let $E_n/K : Y^2 + XY = X^3 - t^n$. The finiteness of $\text{III}(E_n/K)$ is due to [Ulmer], and [Hindry & Pacheco] show that E_n/K satisfies

$$H(E_n/K) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty, \quad \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E_n/K) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1.$$

So the lim sup above is actually 1. **What is the lim inf $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E/K)$? Is it < 1 ?**

Theorem

Write $K = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$. We always assume $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q) > 3$.

Let $E_0 : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_q ; let E be the constant elliptic curve $E = E_0 \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q(t)$. For $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, prime to q , let $E^{(d)}/K$ be the quadratic twist of E by $D(t) = t^d + 1$:

$$E^{(d)} : D(t) \cdot Y^2 = X^3 + aX + b.$$

One has $H(E^{(d)}/K) = q^{\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor + 1}$.

Theorem (G.) Consider the family of quadratic twists of constant elliptic curves over K by $D(t) = t^d + 1$ with $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ prime to q :

$$\mathcal{E} := \left\{ E^{(d)}, E/\mathbb{F}_q(t) \text{ constant ell. curve} \ \& \ d \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ with } \gcd(d, q) = 1 \right\}.$$

Then $\text{III}(E^{(d)}/K)$ is finite for all $E^{(d)} \in \mathcal{E}$ and

$$o(1) \leq \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E^{(d)}/K) \leq 1 + o(1) \quad (d \rightarrow \infty). \quad (*)$$

Moreover, in the “supersingular case”, *i.e.* when d runs through the (infinite) set $\mathcal{D}_q := \{d \in \mathbb{N}^* \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ such that } d \text{ divides } q^n + 1\}$, one has

$$\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E^{(d)}/\mathbb{F}_q(t)) \xrightarrow[d \in \mathcal{D}_q, d \rightarrow \infty]{} 1.$$

Comments & future works

This is a work in progress.

- Can we also compute $\lim \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E^{(d)}/K)$ when d is not necessarily in the “supersingular set” \mathcal{D}_q ? Is it still true that $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E^{(d)}/K) \rightarrow 1$?
 - One can also twist the constant curve E by any squarefree polynomial $D(t) \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ instead of $D(t) = t^d + 1$. In which case, we can easily prove that
- $$o(1) \leq \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E^D/K) \leq 1 + o(1) \quad (\deg D \rightarrow \infty).$$

For which families of such D can we explicitly compute $\lim \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E^D/K)$?

Equivalently, can we compute the zeroes of the zeta-function of $C_D : Y^2 = D(X)$?

- For which families of non-constant elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ can we compute (unconditionally) the limit of the Brauer Siegel ratio?
- Is there one such family of elliptic curves for which $\lim \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E/K)$ is < 1 ? is 0?
- In general, if B&SD is known for E/K , bounding $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E/K)$ is equivalent to finding good upper and lower bounds for $|L^*(E/K, 1)|$. The size of $|L^*(E/K, 1)|$ depends on how the zeroes of $L(E/K, s)$ are distributed on the line $\Re(s) = 1$. The main contribution comes from the “small zeroes”.

References

- [Hindry] M. Hindry, *Why is it difficult to compute the Mordell-Weil group?*, in Diophantine geometry, CRM Series, Ed. Norm. Pisa **4** (2007), 197-219.
- [Hindry & Pacheco] M. Hindry & A. Pacheco, *An analogue of the Brauer-Siegel theorem for abelian varieties in positive characteristic*, Preprint (2015).
- [Milne] J. Milne, *The Tate-Shafarevich group of a constant abelian variety*, Invent. Math. **6** (1968), 91-105.
- [Shafarevich & Tate] I. Shafarevich & J. Tate, *The rank of elliptic curves*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **175** (1967), 770-773.
- [Ulmer] D. Ulmer, *Elliptic curves with high rank over function fields*, Annals of Math. **155** (2002), 295-315.
- [Weil] A. Weil, *Numbers of solutions of equations in finite fields*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 497-508.

Ingredients of the proof

Let $\mathcal{C}_d/\mathbb{F}_q$ be the smooth hyperelliptic curve defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_d : Y^2 = X^d + 1.$$

Put $g_d = \lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor = \text{genus}(\mathcal{C}_d)$ and write the L -function of E_0 as

$$L(E_0/\mathbb{F}_q, T) = (1 - \alpha T)(1 - \bar{\alpha} T), \quad |\alpha| = \sqrt{q}.$$

(1) [Milne] showed that the III of any twist E' of a constant elliptic curve is finite and that the full B&SD conjecture is true for E' :

$$L^*(E'/K, 1) = \frac{\text{Reg}(E'/K) \cdot \#\text{III}(E'/K)}{(\#E'(K)_{\text{tors}})^2 \cdot H(E'/K)} \cdot \text{Tam}(E'/K).$$

(2) Here, $\#E^{(d)}(K)_{\text{tors}} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $\text{Tam}(E^{(d)}/K) = o(g_d)$. Thus, when $g_d \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{s}(E^{(d)}/K) = 1 + \frac{\log |L^*(E^{(d)}/K, 1)|}{g_d \cdot \log q} + o(1).$$

(3) Easy bounds for $|L^*(E^{(d)}/K, 1)|$ imply (*):

$$-g_d \cdot \log q \leq \log |L^*(E^{(d)}/K, 1)| \leq 2 \log g_d.$$

(4) [Milne] also proved that

$$L^*(E^{(d)}/K, 1) = (\log q)^{\text{rk } E^{(d)}(K)} \cdot |L_d^\#(\alpha^{-1})|^2,$$

where $L_d^\#(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ is the numerator $L_d(T)$ of

$$Z(\mathcal{C}_d/\mathbb{F}_q, T) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{2g_d} (1 - \beta_j T)}{(1-T)(1-qT)}, \quad |\beta_j| = \sqrt{q}$$

with the factors vanishing at α^{-1} or $\bar{\alpha}^{-1}$ removed.

(5) Using the explicit formulae, one can show that

$$\text{rk } E^{(d)}(K) = \mathcal{O}(d/\log d) = o(g_d).$$

(6) It follows from computations of [Weil] that

$$L_d(T) = \prod_m (1 - J(m)T^{u(m)}) \quad (m \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, d/2\})/\langle q \text{ mod } d \rangle),$$

where $u(m) = \text{order}(q \text{ mod } d/\gcd(d, m))$ and $J(m)$ is a Jacobi sum.

(7) If d divides $q^n + 1$ for some n , [Shafarevich & Tate] proved that $u(m)$ is even and $J(m) = -q^{u(m)/2}$. So $L_d(T)$ has the form $L_d(T) = \prod_{j=1}^{h_d} (1 + q^{v_j} T^{2v_j})^{m_j}$.

(8) At some point, we use Baker-Wüstholz theorem. Write $\alpha = \sqrt{q} \cdot e^{i\theta}$, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$: either $\log |\cos(n\theta)| = 0$ or $\log |\cos(n\theta)| \gg_q \log(n)$.

Contact



Richard Griffon – *PhD Student*
(Supervisor: Marc Hindry)
Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu
Université Paris Diderot

✉ : richard.griffon@imj-prg.fr

