

# An analogue of the Brauer-Siegel theorem for some families of elliptic curves over function fields

RICHARD GRIFFON, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu - Université Paris Diderot

## Introduction

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over the function field  $K = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ . The arithmetic of  $E$  is (or should be) encoded in three objects:

- $E(K)$ , its **Mordell-Weil group**: a finitely generated group equipped with the canonical Néron-Tate height pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{NT}$ .
- $\text{III}(E/K)$ , its **Shafarevich-Tate group**: conjecturally a finite group.
- $L(E/K, s)$ , its  $L$ -function and the **special value at**  $s = 1$ , which appears in the Birch & Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture:

$$L^*(E/K, 1) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{L(E/K, s)}{(s-1)^{\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/K, s)}}.$$

Recall that the **Néron-Tate regulator** is defined as

$$\text{Reg}(E/K) := \det(\langle P_i, P_j \rangle_{NT})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$$

where  $P_1, \dots, P_r$  denotes a basis of the free part of  $E(K)$ .

General diophantine problem: bounding the size of  $E(K)$  and  $\text{III}(E/K)$  in terms of the exponential differential height  $H(E/K)$  (or in terms of the conductor  $N_{E/K}$ ). But individual bounds are hard to obtain: for example,

- Lang’s conjecture:  $\text{Reg}(E/K) \gg (\log H(E/K))^{\text{rk } E(K)}$ .
- Szpiro’s conjecture:  $\#\text{III}(E/K) \ll_{\varepsilon} N_{E/K}^{1/2+\varepsilon} \ll_{\varepsilon} H(E/K)^{1+\varepsilon}$ .

Following [Hindry], we consider the **Brauer-Siegel ratio of**  $E/K$ :

$$\mathfrak{BS}(E/K) := \frac{\log(\text{Reg}(E/K) \cdot \#\text{III}(E/K))}{\log H(E/K)}.$$

In a sense,  $\mathfrak{BS}(E/K)$  quantifies the difficulty of finding rational points on  $E$  and of computing a basis for the Mordell-Weil group of  $E$ .

- What is the behaviour of  $\mathfrak{BS}(E/K)$  when  $H(E/K) \rightarrow \infty$ ?**
- Is it always true that  $\mathfrak{BS}(E/K) \rightarrow 1$ ?**

**Remark 1:**  $\mathfrak{BS}(A/K)$  makes sense for any abelian variety  $A$  over a global field  $K$  (provided its III is finite). What is the behaviour of  $\mathfrak{BS}(A/K)$  when  $H(A/K) \rightarrow \infty$  with  $\dim A$  fixed?

**Remark 2:** Note the analogy with the Brauer-Siegel theorem, which says that

$$\mathfrak{BS}(K/\mathbb{Q}) := \frac{\log(\text{Reg}(\mathcal{O}_K^{\times}) \cdot \#\mathcal{C}\ell(\mathcal{O}_K))}{\log \sqrt{\Delta_K}} \xrightarrow{[K:\mathbb{Q}] \text{ fixed}, \Delta_K \rightarrow \infty} 1.$$

Analytic proof: (1) Link  $\mathfrak{BS}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  with the residue  $\text{res}_{s=1} \zeta_K(s)$ .

(2) Study the behaviour of  $\zeta_K(s)$  around  $s = 1$ .

This analogy suggests to study the behaviour of  $L(E/K, s)$  around  $s = 1$ .

## Previous results

Little is known about  $\mathfrak{BS}(E/K)$ . [Hindry & Pacheco] show (conditional to III being finite) that

$$0 \leq \liminf_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{BS}(E/K) \leq \limsup_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{BS}(E/K) \leq 1 \quad \text{as } H(E/K) \rightarrow +\infty$$

where  $\mathcal{E} = \{\text{all elliptic curves over } K\}$ .

**Example:** For all  $n$  prime to  $q$ , let  $E_n/K : Y^2 + XY = X^3 - t^n$ . The finiteness of  $\text{III}(E_n/K)$  is due to [Ulmer], and [Hindry & Pacheco] show that  $E_n/K$  satisfies

$$H(E_n/K) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty, \quad \mathfrak{BS}(E_n/K) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1.$$

So the lim sup above is actually 1. **What is the**  $\liminf \mathfrak{BS}(E/K)$  **? Is it**  $< 1$  **?**

## Theorem

Write  $K = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ . We always assume  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q) > 3$ .

Let  $E_0 : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ; let  $E$  be the constant elliptic curve  $E = E_0 \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ . For  $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , prime to  $q$ , let  $E^{(d)}/K$  be the quadratic twist of  $E$  by  $D(t) = t^d + 1$ :

$$E^{(d)} : D(t) \cdot Y^2 = X^3 + aX + b.$$

One has  $H(E^{(d)}/K) = q^{\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor + 1}$ .

**Theorem (G.)** Consider the family of quadratic twists of constant elliptic curves over  $K$  by  $D(t) = t^d + 1$  with  $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$  prime to  $q$ :

$$\mathcal{E} := \left\{ E^{(d)}, \ E/\mathbb{F}_q(t) \text{ constant ell. curve \& } d \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ with } \gcd(d, q) = 1 \right\}.$$

Then  $\text{III}(E^{(d)}/K)$  is finite for all  $E^{(d)} \in \mathcal{E}$  and

$$o(1) \leq \mathfrak{BS}(E^{(d)}/K) \leq 1 + o(1) \quad (d \rightarrow \infty). \quad (*)$$

Moreover, in the “supersingular case”, *i.e.* when  $d$  runs through the (infinite) set  $\mathcal{D}_q := \left\{ d \in \mathbb{N}^* \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ such that } d \text{ divides } q^n + 1 \right\}$ , one has

$$\mathfrak{BS}(E^{(d)}/\mathbb{F}_q(t)) \xrightarrow{d \in \mathcal{D}_q, \ d \rightarrow \infty} 1.$$

## Comments & future works

*This is a work in progress.*

- Can we also compute  $\lim \mathfrak{BS}(E^{(d)}/K)$  when  $d$  is not necessarily in the “supersingular set”  $\mathcal{D}_q$ ? Is it still true that  $\mathfrak{BS}(E^{(d)}/K) \rightarrow 1$ ?

- One can also twist the constant curve  $E$  by any squarefree polynomial  $D(t) \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$  instead of  $D(t) = t^d + 1$ . In which case, we can easily prove that

$$o(1) \leq \mathfrak{BS}(E^D/K) \leq 1 + o(1) \quad (\deg D \rightarrow \infty).$$

For which families of such  $D$  can we explicitly compute  $\lim \mathfrak{BS}(E^D/K)$ ?

Equivalently, can we compute the zeroes of the zeta-function of  $C_D : Y^2 = D(X)$ ?

- For which families of non-constant elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$  can we compute (unconditionally) the limit of the Brauer Siegel ratio?

- Is there one such family of elliptic curves for which  $\lim \mathfrak{BS}(E/K)$  is  $< 1$ ? is  $0$ ?

- In general, if B&SD is known for  $E/K$ , bounding  $\mathfrak{BS}(E/K)$  is equivalent to finding good upper and lower bounds for  $|L^*(E/K, 1)|$ . The size of  $|L^*(E/K, 1)|$  depends on how the zeroes of  $L(E/K, s)$  are distributed on the line  $\Re(s) = 1$ . The main contribution comes from the “small zeroes”.

## References

[Hindry] M. Hindry, *Why is it difficult to compute the Mordell-Weil group*?, in Diophantine geometry, CRM Series, Ed. Norm. Pisa **4** (2007), 197-219.

[Hindry & Pacheco] M. Hindry & A. Pacheco, *An analogue of the Brauer-Siegel theorem for abelian varieties in positive characteristic*, Preprint (2015).

[Milne] J. Milne, *The Tate-Shafarevich group of a constant abelian variety*, Invent. Math. **6** (1968), 91-105.

[Shafarevich & Tate] I. Shafarevich & J. Tate, *The rank of elliptic curves*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **175** (1967), 770-773.

[Ulmer] D. Ulmer, *Elliptic curves with high rank over function fields*, Annals of Math. **155** (2002), 295-315.

[Weil] A. Weil, *Numbers of solutions of equations in finite fields*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 497-508.

## Ingredients of the proof

Let  $\mathcal{C}_d/\mathbb{F}_q$  be the smooth hyperelliptic curve defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_d : Y^2 = X^d + 1.$$

Put  $g_d = \lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor = \text{genus}(\mathcal{C}_d)$  and write the  $L$ -function of  $E_0$  as

$$L(E_0/\mathbb{F}_q, T) = (1 - \alpha T)(1 - \bar{\alpha} T), \quad |\alpha| = \sqrt{q}.$$

- (1) [Milne] showed that the III of any twist  $E'$  of a constant elliptic curve is finite and that the full B&SD conjecture is true for  $E'$ :

$$L^*(E'/K, 1) = \frac{\text{Reg}(E'/K) \cdot \#\text{III}(E'/K)}{(\#E'(K)_{\text{tors}})^2 \cdot H(E'/K)} \cdot \text{Tam}(E'/K).$$

- (2) Here,  $\#E^{(d)}(K)_{\text{tors}} = \mathcal{O}(1)$  and  $\text{Tam}(E^{(d)}/K) = o(g_d)$ . Thus, when  $g_d \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathfrak{BS}(E^{(d)}/K) = 1 + \frac{\log |L^*(E^{(d)}/K, 1)|}{g_d \cdot \log q} + o(1).$$

- (3) Easy bounds for  $|L^*(E^{(d)}/K, 1)|$  imply (\*):

$$-g_d \cdot \log q \leq \log |L^*(E^{(d)}/K, 1)| \leq 2 \log g_d.$$

- (4) [Milne] also proved that

$$L^*(E^{(d)}/K, 1) = (\log q)^{\text{rk } E^{(d)}(K)} \cdot |L_d^{\neq}(\alpha^{-1})|^2,$$

where  $L_d^{\neq}(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$  is the numerator  $L_d(T)$  of

$$Z(\mathcal{C}_d/\mathbb{F}_q, T) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{2g_d} (1 - \beta_j T)}{(1-T)(1-qT)}, \quad |\beta_j| = \sqrt{q}$$

with the factors vanishing at  $\alpha^{-1}$  or  $\bar{\alpha}^{-1}$  removed.

- (5) Using the explicit formulae, one can show that

$$\text{rk } E^{(d)}(K) = \mathcal{O}(d/\log d) = o(g_d).$$

- (6) It follows from computations of [Weil] that

$$L_d(T) = \prod_m (1 - J(m)T^{u(m)}) \quad (m \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, d/2\})/\langle q \bmod d \rangle),$$

where  $u(m) = \text{order}(q \bmod d/\gcd(d, m))$  and  $J(m)$  is a Jacobi sum.

- (7) If  $d$  divides  $q^n + 1$  for some  $n$ , [Shafarevich & Tate] proved that  $u(m)$  is even and  $J(m) = -q^{u(m)/2}$ . So  $L_d(T)$  has the form  $L_d(T) = \prod_{j=1}^{h_d} (1 + q^{v_j} T^{2v_j})^{m_j}$ .

- (8) At some point, we use Baker-Wüstholz theorem. Write  $\alpha = \sqrt{q} \cdot e^{i\theta}$ , then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ : either  $\log |\cos(n\theta)| = 0$  or  $\log |\cos(n\theta)| \gg_q \log(n)$ .

## Contact



Richard Griffon – *PhD Student*  
(*Supervisor: Marc Hindry*)  
Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu  
Université Paris Diderot

✉ : richard.griffon@imj-prg.fr

